

EVALUATION CRITERIA

In accordance with paragraph 2.4 in the official rules of the NIBS Worldwide Business Plan Competition, submissions should include the following:

- Business model with value proposition
- Business strategy
- Business objectives
- Internal and external analysis
- Marketing plan, incl. communication plan
- Financial plan
- Implementation plan
- Contingency plan
- Creativity
- Viability and feasibility

When evaluating these submissions, the judging panel will:

- weigh the risks, possible outcomes, feasibility
- consider growth potential
- consider uniqueness, creativity and competitive advantage
- assess if the teams have done a valid feasibility study.
- assess the reasoning behind the business model
- assess the effectiveness of the pitch (you tube film)

Each team will be assigned a final score between 1 (extremely poor) and 75 (outstanding)



Business model:	Score
Mission and vision of the business	
Strategy and entry strategy	
Objectives of the business model	
Unique Selling Proposition (competitive advantages and innovativeness of the product/service)	
OVERALL max score is 15	/15
Business plan:	
Quality of the analysis of micro environment	
- analysis of the customers' profile	
- analysis of competitors - analysis of suppliers, shareholders,	
Quality of the analysis of macro-environment	
Quality of the marketing plan	
- a clear view of market, market size and market potential	
- Segmentation, Targeting, Positioning	
- Marketing mix (strategy for pricing, promotion, product, place)	
Quality of the financial plan	
- cost calculation	
- sales forecasts	
- price calculation	
- break-even analysis / expected margins	
- attraction of (foreign)capital	
Quality of the implementation plan - How will the plan be put into effect (in the current configuration)?	
- What is the time line?	
- Who is involved?	
- What are the risks?	
- Plan B?	
OVERALL max. score is 50	/50
Movie (5 minutes, YouTube):	
Presentation form	
Content	
OVERALL max, score is 10	/10



Business Plan Competition

FEEDBACK: General Comments

Strengths:
Weaknesses:
Each judge should formulate two questions about aspects of the plan that require additional clarification or explanation (paragraph 2.7.1). These questions will be used in the event that the team reaches the final.
Question 1
Question 2